arXiv:1610.01477v1 [math.KT] 5 Oct 2016

HOM-LIE-RINEHART ALGEBRAS

ASHIS MANDAL AND SATYENDRA KUMAR MISHRA

ABSTRACT. We define hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras and its cohomology with coefficients in a module. We also discuss about extensions of hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras in relation with small dimensional cohomology spaces.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we introduce hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras as an algebraic analogue of hom-Lie algebroids, and systematically describe a cohomology complex by considering coefficient modules. We also present extensions of hom-Lie- Rinehart algebras and show the correspondence with small dimensional cohomology spaces as one can expect in an analogy with the classical algebras. In the sequel, we show that there are adjoint functors canonically defined between the category of hom-Gerstenhaber algebras and the category of hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras.

The hom-algebraic consideration like hom-associative algebras, hom-Poisson algebras, Non-commutative hom-Poisson algebras, hom-Leibniz algebras and most of the results analogous to the classical cases followed in the works of J. Hartwig, D. Larsson, A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov, D. Yau and other authors ([8], [21], [17], [24], [1], [18] etc.)

The notion of Lie-Rinehart algebras play an important role in many brunches of mathematics. The idea of this notion goes back to the work of N. Jacobson to study certain field extensions. It is appeared in some other different names in several areas which includes differential geometry and differential Galois theory. In [16], K. Mackenzie provided a list of 14 different terms mentioned for this notion. We follow the term wchich is due to J. Huebschmann who viewed Lie-Rinehart algebras as an algebraic counterpart of Lie algebroids and worked extensively on various aspects of this through a series of papers [10–13]. The notion of hom-Lie algebroids are defined in [6] by using the notion of hom-Gerstenhaber algebras. Here, we introduce an algebraic analogue of the hom-Lie algebroid under the name "hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra" in this paper.

The paper is organized as follows: In section 2, we recall preliminaries on hom algebras and fix several notations which we follow in the later part. In section 3, we define the hom-Lie Rinehart algebras and give some natural examples arising from known hom-structures in the literature. We also consider homomorphisms to form a category of hom -Lie -Rinehart algebras and show that there are canonically defined adjoint functors with the category of hom-Gerstenhaber algebras. The notion of a module for a hom-Lie- Rinehart algebra appeared in section 4, and subsequently we introduce a cochain complex and cohomology of a hom-Lie- Rinehart algebra with coefficients in a module. In section 5, we consider extensions of a hom-Lie- Rinehart algebras and characterise the 1st and 2nd cohomology spaces in terms of the group of automorphisms of an A-split abelian

[1]The research of S.K. Mishra is supported by CSIR-SPM fellowship grant 2013 .

[2]AMS Mathematics Subject Classification (2010): 17A32, 17B66, 53D17.

Date:

Key words and phrases. Lie- Rinehart algebras, cohomology of Lie- Rinehart algebras, Lie algebroids, Hom-algebras

.

extension and the equivalence classes of A-split abelian extensions in the category of hom-Lie Rinehart algebras, respectively. Further, central extensions of a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra are also defined.

2. Preliminaries on hom-algebras

In this section, we recall the basic definitions concerning hom- algebras from ([1,8,17,18,21,24]) will give definitions of well known objects in order to fix the notations and terminology. Let R be a commutative ring with unity and $\mathbb{Z}_+$ be the set of all non-negative integers. We will consider all the modules, algebras or tensor products over R and all linear maps to be R-linear unless otherwise stated.

Definition 2.1. *A hom-Lie algebra is a triple $(\mathfrak{g}, [-,-], \alpha)$ with $\mathfrak{g}$, a R-module equipped with a skew-symmetric R-bilinear map $[-,-] : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ and a Lie algebra homomorphism $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ such that*

$$[\alpha(x), [y,z]] + [\alpha(y), [z,x]] + [\alpha(z), [x,y]] = 0 \tag{1}$$

for all $x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$.(also called hom-Jacobi identity)

If α is an automorphism of $\mathfrak{g}$, then $(\mathfrak{g}, [-,-], \alpha)$ is called a regular hom-Lie algebra.

Example 2.2. *Given a Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-,-])$ with a Lie algebra endomorphism $\alpha : L \to L$, we can define a hom-Lie algebra as a triple $(\mathfrak{g}, \alpha \circ [-,-], \alpha)$. The hom-Jacobi identity for $\alpha \circ [-,-]$ is equivalent to Jacobi identity for the bracket $[-,-]$ restricted to the image of α^2.(These kind of hom-Lie structures are called to be obtained by composition.)*

Definition 2.3. *A graded hom-Lie algebra is a triple $(\mathfrak{g}, [-,-], \alpha)$ with a graded module: $\mathfrak{g} = \oplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathfrak{g}_i$, a graded skew-symmetric bilinear map of degee -1: $[-,-] : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ and a homomorphism of $(\mathfrak{g}, [-,-])$ of degree 0: $\alpha : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$, satisfying:*

$$(-1)^{(i-1)(k-1)}[\alpha(x), [y,z]] + (-1)^{(j-1)(i-1)}[\alpha(y), [z,x]] + (-1)^{(k-1)(i-1)}[\alpha(z), [x,y]] = 0,$$

for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}_i,\ y \in \mathfrak{g}_j,\ k \in \mathfrak{g}_k$.

Definition 2.4. *A representation of a hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-,-], \alpha)$ on a R-module V is a pair (ρ, α_V) of R-linear maps $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}l(V),\ \alpha : V \to V$ such that*

$$\rho(\alpha(x)) \circ \alpha_V = \alpha_V \circ \rho(x), \tag{2}$$

$$\rho([x,y]) \circ \alpha_V = \rho(\alpha(x)) \circ \rho(y) - \rho(\alpha(y)) \circ \rho(x). \tag{3}$$

for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Example 2.5. *[21] For any integer s, we can define the α^s-adjoint representation of the regular hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-,-], \alpha)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ by (ad_s, α), where*

$$ad_s(g)(h) = [\alpha^s(g), h] \text{ for all } g, h \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Definition 2.6. *[6] Given an associative commutative algebra A and an algebra endomorphism α, we call $\delta : A \to A$ an α-derivation if it satisfies*

$$\delta(a.b) = \alpha(a).\delta(b) + \alpha(b).\delta(a)$$

for all $a, b \in A$. Let us denote the set of α-derivations by $Der_\alpha(A)$.

Remark 2.7. *Gievn a manifold M and a smooth map $\psi : M \to M$, then the space of ψ^*-derivations of $C^\infty(M)$ can be identified with the space of sections of the pull back bundle of TM ($i.e. \Gamma(\psi^! TM)$)*

Definition 2.8. *[6] A hom-Lie algebroid is a quintuple $(A \to M, \phi, [-,-], \rho, \alpha)$, where $A \to M$ is a vector bundle over a smooth manifold M, $[-,-] : \Gamma(A) \times \Gamma(A) \to \Gamma(A)$ is a bilinear map, $\rho : \phi^! A \to \phi^! TM$, called anchor, and $\alpha : \Gamma(A) \to \Gamma(A)$ is a linear map, such that:*

(1) $\alpha(f.X) = \phi^*(f).\alpha(X)$ *for all* $X \in \Gamma(A),\ fC^\infty(M)$;
(2) *The triple* $(\Gamma(A), [-,-], \alpha)$ *is a hom-Lie algebra;*
(3) *The following hom-Leibniz identity holds:*

$$[X, fY] = \phi^*(f)[X, Y] + \rho(X)[F]\alpha(Y);$$

for all $X, Y \in \Gamma(A),\ fC^\infty(M)$
(4) (ρ, ϕ^*) *is a representation of* $(\Gamma(A), [-,-], \alpha)$ *on* $C^\infty(M)$.

Remark 2.9. *[6] Above $\rho(X)[f]$ stands for the function on M, whose value at $m \in M$ is $\left\langle d_{\phi(m)} f, \rho_m(X_{\phi(m)}) \right\rangle$. Here, $\rho_m : (\phi^! A)_m \cong A_{\phi(m)} \to (\phi^! TM)_m \cong T_{\phi(m)} M$ is the anchor map evaluated at $m \in M$ and $X_{\phi(m)}$ is the value of the section $X \in \Gamma(A)$ at $\phi(m) \in M$.*

Let A be an associative commutative R-algebra. Denote the space of R-derivations of algebra A by $Der(A)$. Then $Der(A)$ is simultaneously an A-module and a Lie algebra with the commutator bracket.

Definition 2.10. *A Lie-Rinehart algebra L over an associative commutative R-algebra A is a Lie algebra with an A-module structure and a R-module homomorphism $\rho : L \to Der(A)$, such that:*

(1) *ρ is simultaneously an A-module homomorphism and a Lie R-algebra homomorphism;*
(2) $[x, ay] = a[x, y] + \rho(x)(a)y$ *for all* $x, y \in L,\ a \in A$.

Lie-Rinehart algebras are also known as (R, A)-Lie algebras [20] and d-Lie rings [19]. Let A and B be associative commutative R-algebras. Also, let L and L' be Lie-Rinehart algebras over A and B, respectively. Then the pair (g, f) is a homomorphism of Lie-Rinehart algebras L and L' if $g : A \to B$ is an algebra homomorphism and $f : L \to L'$ is an R-module homomorphism such that:

(1) $f(a.x) = g(a).f(x)$ for all $x \in L,\ a \in A$,
(2) $f[x, y]_L = [f(x), f(y)]_{L'}$ for all $x, y \in L$,
(3) $g(\rho_L(x)(a)) = \rho_{L'}(f(x))(g(a))$ for all $x \in L,\ a \in A$.

Lie-Rinehart algebras with the Lie-Rinehart algebra homomorphisms form a category. Denote the category of Lie-Rinehart algebras by LR.

Definition 2.11. *A Gerstenhaber algebra is a triple $(\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i \in \mathcal{Z}_+} \mathcal{A}_i, \wedge, [-,-])$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is a graded commutative associative R-algebra, and $[-,-] : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a bilinear map of degree -1 such that:*

(1) $(\mathcal{A}, [-,-])$ *is a graded Lie algebra.*
(2) *The following Leibniz rule holds:*

$$[X, Y \wedge Z] = [X, Y] \wedge Z + (-1)^{(i-1)j} Y \wedge [X, Z],$$

for all $X \in \mathcal{A}_i,\ Y \in \mathcal{A}_j,\ Z \in \mathcal{A}_k$.

If $(\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{A}_i, \bigwedge_1, [-,-]_{\mathcal{A}})$ and $(\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_+} \mathcal{B}_i, \bigwedge_2, [-,-]_{\mathcal{B}})$ be Gerstenhaber algebras, then a 0-degree R-linear map $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism of Gerstenhaber algebras if it satisfies:

(1) $\Theta[X, Y]_{\mathcal{A}} = [\Theta(X), \Theta(Y)]_{\mathcal{B}}$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}_i, Y \in \mathcal{A}_j$,
(2) $\Theta(X \wedge_1 Y) = \Theta(X) \wedge_2 \Theta(Y)$ for all $X \in \mathcal{A}_i, Y \in \mathcal{A}_j$,

Gerstenhaber algebras with the Gerstenhaber algebra homomorphisms form a category. Denote the category of Gerstenhaber algebras by GR.

Remark 2.12. *For a given Gerstenhaber algebra $(\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i\in\mathcal{Z}_+}\mathcal{A}_i, \wedge, [-,-])$, we have a Lie-Rinehart algebra structure on $\mathcal{A}_1$ over $\mathcal{A}_0$. In fact, this assignment gives a functor $F : GR \to LR$. Similarly, we can define a functor $G : LR \to GR$ assigning a Lie Rinehart algebra $\mathcal{A}_1$ over $\mathcal{A}_0$ to a Gerstenhaber algebra structure on $(\wedge^*_{\mathcal{A}_0}\mathcal{A}_1, \wedge, [-,-]_G)$, where $\wedge^*_{\mathcal{A}_0}\mathcal{A}_1$ is the exterior algebra of $\mathcal{A}_1$ over $\mathcal{A}_0$ and $[-,-]_G$ is the schouten bracket:*

$$[x_1\wedge..\wedge x_n, y_1\wedge..\wedge y_m] = (-1)^{(m-1)(n-1)}\sum(-1)^{i+j}[x_i,y_j]\wedge x_1\wedge..\wedge\hat{x_i}\wedge..\wedge x_n\wedge y_1\wedge..\wedge\hat{y_j}\wedge..\wedge y_m$$

where $x_i, y_j \in \mathcal{A}_1$ for all $1\le i\le n,\ 1\le j\le m$. The functor $G : LR \to GR$ is left adjoint to the functor $F : GR \to LR$. For further details see (Theorem 5, [7])

Definition 2.13. *[6] A hom-Gerstenhaber algerba is a quadruple $(\mathcal{A} = \oplus_{i\in\mathcal{Z}_+}\mathcal{A}_i, \wedge, [-,-], \alpha)$ where $\mathcal{A}$ is a graded commutative associative R-algebra, α is an automorphism of $(A,\wedge)$ of degree 0 and $[\ ,\ ] : \mathcal{A}\otimes\mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ is a bilinear map of degree -1 such that:*

1. *$(\mathcal{A}, [-,-], \alpha)$ is a graded hom-Lie algebra.*
2. *the hom-Leibniz rule holds:*

$$[X, Y\wedge Z] = [X,Y]\wedge\alpha(Z) + (-1)^{(i-1)j}\alpha(Y)\wedge[X,Z],$$

for all $X\in\mathcal{A}_i,\ Y\in\mathcal{A}_j,\ Z\in\mathcal{A}_k$.

Example 2.14. *Given a a Gertenhaber algebra $(\mathcal{A}, [-,-], \wedge)$ and an endomorphism $\alpha : (\mathcal{A}, [-,-], \wedge) \to (\mathcal{A}, [-,-], \wedge)$, the quadruple $(\mathcal{A}, \wedge, \alpha\circ[-,-], \alpha)$ is a hom-Gerstenhaber algebra.*

Example 2.15. *Given a hom-Lie algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [-,-], \alpha)$, we can define a hom-Gerstenhber algebra $(\mathfrak{G} = \wedge^*\mathfrak{g}, \wedge, [-,-]_{\mathfrak{G}}, \alpha_{\mathfrak{G}})$, where*

$$[x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge x_n, y_1\wedge\cdots\wedge y_m]_{\mathfrak{G}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m}(-1)^{i+j}[x_i,y_j]\wedge\alpha_G(x_1\wedge\cdots\hat{x_i}\wedge\cdots\wedge x_n\wedge y_1\wedge\cdots\hat{y_j}\wedge\cdots\wedge y_m)$$

for all $x_1,\cdots,x_n,y_1,\cdots,y_m\in\mathfrak{g}$ and

$$\alpha_{\mathfrak{G}}(x_1\wedge\cdots\wedge x_n) = \alpha(x_1)\wedge\cdots\wedge\alpha(x_n).$$

See [6] for further details.

If $(\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\mathcal{A}_i, \bigwedge_1, [-,-]_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha)$ and $(\mathcal{B} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}_+}\mathcal{B}_i, \bigwedge_2, [-,-]_{\mathcal{B}}, \beta)$ be hom-Gerstenhaber algebras, then a 0-degree R-linear map $\Theta : \mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{B}$ is a homomorphism of hom-Gerstenhaber algebras if it satisfies:

1. $\Theta[X,Y]_{\mathcal{A}} = [\Theta(X),\Theta(Y)]_{\mathcal{B}}$ for all $X\in\mathcal{A}_i, Y\in\mathcal{A}_j$,
2. $\Theta(X\wedge_1 Y) = \Theta(X)\wedge_2\Theta(Y)$ for all $X\in\mathcal{A}_i, Y\in\mathcal{A}_j$,
3. For any $X\in\mathcal{A}_i,\ \Theta(\alpha(X)) = \beta(\Theta(X))$.

Denote the category of hom-Gerstenhaber algebras by hGR.

3. Hom-Lie- Rinehart algebra

In this section, we introduce hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras as an algebraic analogue of hom-Lie algebroid defined in [6]. In the sequel, we define homomorphisms to form a category of hom -Lie -Rinehart algebras and show that there are canonically defined adjoint functors with the category

of hom-Gerstenhaber algebras. Let R be a commutative ring with unity, A be an associative commutative R-algebra, and $\phi : A \to A$ be an algebra endomorphism. We will consider A-module action to be faithful.

Consider $\phi : A \to A$ to be an automorphism and $Der_\phi A$, the space of ϕ-derivations of A (Definition 2.6). Define a bilinear map $[-,-]_\phi : Der_\phi A \times Der_\phi A \to Der_\phi A$ as

$$[D_1, D_2]_\phi = \phi \circ D_1 \circ \phi^{-1} \circ D_2 \circ \phi^{-1} - \phi \circ D_2 \circ \phi^{-1} \circ D_1 \circ \phi^{-1}. \tag{4}$$

Also, define a linear map $\alpha_\phi : Der_\phi A \to Der_\phi A$ as

$$\alpha_\phi(D) = \phi \circ D \circ \phi^{-1}. \tag{5}$$

Remark 3.1. *[2] The triple $(Der_\phi A, [-,-]_\phi, \alpha_\phi)$ is a hom-Lie algebra.*

Furthermore, $Der_\phi A$ is an A-module (action defined using algebra multiplication in A) and we have the following identities

(1) $\alpha(a.D) = \phi(a).\alpha(D)$ for $a \in A,$ and $D \in Der_\phi A$;
(2) $[D_1, a.D_2]_\phi = \phi(a).[D_1, D_2]_\phi + \alpha_\phi(D_1)(a).\alpha_\phi(D_2)$ for $a \in A,$ and $D_1, D_2 \in Der_\phi A$.

The above discussion leads to the notion of a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra, which is an algebraic counterpart of hom-Lie algebroids [6]:

Definition 3.2. *A hom-Lie Rinehart algebra over (A, ϕ) is a tuple $(A, L, [-,-], \phi, \alpha, \rho)$, where A is an assoiative commutaive algebra, L is an A-module, $[-,-] : L \times L \to L$ is a skew symmetric bilinear map, $\phi : A \to A$ is an algebra homomorphism, $\alpha : L \to L$ is a linear map satisfying $\alpha([x,y]) = [\alpha(x), \alpha(y)]$, and $\rho : L \to Der_\phi A$ such that*

(1) *The triple $(L, [-,-], \alpha)$ is a hom-Lie algebra.*
(2) *$\alpha(a.x) = \phi(a).\alpha(x)$ for all $a \in A,\ x \in L$.*
(3) *(ρ, ϕ) is a representation of $(L, [\ ,\ ], \alpha)$ on A.*
(4) *$\rho(a.x) = \phi(a).\rho(x)$ for all $a \in A,\ x \in L$.*
(5) *$[x, a.y] = \phi(a)[x,y] + \rho(x)(a)\alpha(y)$ for all $a \in A,\ x, y \in L$.*

Example 3.3. *A Lie-Rinehart A-algebra L with the Lie bracket $[-,-] : L \otimes L \to L$ and the anchor map $\rho : L \to Der(A)$ is a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra $(A, L, [-,-], \phi, \alpha, \rho)$ with $\alpha = Id_L,\ \phi = Id_A$ and $\rho : L \to Der_\phi A = Der(A)$.*

Remark 3.4. *If we consider the map $\alpha = Id_L$ in the above definition, then a hom-Lie- Rinehart algerba is a Lie-Rinehart algebra. Infact, $\alpha = Id_L$ forces $\phi = Id_A$, because of the identity: $\alpha(a.x) = \phi(a).(\alpha(x))$ for all $a \in A,\ x \in L$ and the fact that the action of A on L is faithful.*

Example 3.5. *A hom-Lie algebra $(L, [-,-], \alpha)$ structure over a R-module L gives a hom-Lie Rinehart algebra $(A, L, [-,-], \phi, \alpha, \rho)$ with $A = R$, the algebra morphism $\phi = Id_{\mathbb{K}}$ and the trivial action of L on R.*

Example 3.6. *Recall hom Lie-algebroid $(A \to M, [-,-], \rho, \alpha, \phi)$ from the 2.8. It gives a hom-Lie Rinehart algebra $(C^\infty(M), \Gamma A, [-,-], \phi^*, \alpha, \rho)$ where ΓA is the space a sections of the vector bundle $A \to M$, and $\phi^* : C^\infty(M) \to C^\infty(M)$ is induced by the smooth map $\phi : M \to M$.*

Example 3.7. *Let A be an associative commutative algebra and ϕ be an automorphism of A.Then the space of ϕ-derivations of A, i.e. $Der_\phi A$ is an A-module. Now, it is clear that the tuple $(A, Der_\phi A, [-,-]_\phi, \alpha_\phi, \alpha_\phi)$ is a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra over (A, ϕ), where the bracket $[-,-]_\phi : Der_\phi A \otimes Der_\phi A \to Der_\phi A$ and the linear map $\alpha_\phi : Der_\phi A \to Der_\phi A$ are defined by equations 4 and 5, respectively. Here, the anchor map $\rho = \alpha_\phi$.*

Example 3.8. *If a Lie-Rinehart A-algebra L is given with an endomorphism*

$$(\phi, \alpha) : (A, L) \to (A, L)$$

in the category of Lie-Rinehart algebras , then we define a hom-Lie -Rinehart algebra $(A, L, [-,-]_\alpha, \phi, \alpha, \rho_\phi)$, called "obtained by composition". Here

(1) $[x, y]_\alpha = \alpha[x, y]$ *for* $x, y \in L$;
(2) $\rho_\phi(x)(a) = \phi(\rho(x)(a))$ *for* $x \in L,\ a \in A$.

Note that the following holds:

(1) $(L, [-,-]_\alpha, \alpha)$ *is a hom-Lie algebra obtained by composition.*
(2) $\alpha(a.x) = \phi(a).\alpha(x)$;
(3) $\rho_\phi(a.x) = \phi(a).\rho_\phi(x)$;
(4) $[x, a.y]_\alpha = \phi(a).[x, y]_\alpha + \rho_\phi(x)(a).\alpha(y)$;
(5) (ρ_ϕ, ϕ) *is a representation of* $(L, [\ ,\ ]_\alpha, \alpha)$ *on* A;

for all $x, y \in L,\ a \in A$.

Example 3.9. *Let $(L, [-,-], \alpha)$ be a hom-Lie R-algebra, A be an associative commuative R-algebra with an algebra homomorphism $\phi : A \to A$ and (ρ, ϕ) be the representation of $(L, [-,-], \alpha)$ on A. Further, consider the map ρ to be a R-linear map from $L \to Der_\phi(A)$, i.e. assume the action of L on A via ϕ-derivations. Then the transformation hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra structure on $\mathfrak{g} = A \otimes L$ is given as $(A, \mathfrak{g}, [-,-], \phi, \tilde{\alpha}, \tilde{\rho})$ where:*

(1) *The R-bilinear bracket $[-,-]$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by*

$$[a \otimes x, b \otimes y]_\mathfrak{g} = \phi(ab) \otimes [x, y] + \phi(a)\rho(x)(b)\alpha(y) - \phi(b)\rho(y)(a)\alpha(x),$$

for all $x, y \in L$ and $a, b \in A$.

(2) *The R-linear map $\tilde{\alpha} : \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}$ is gien by*

$$\tilde{\alpha}(a \otimes x) = \phi(a) \otimes \alpha(x),$$

for all $a \in A,\ x \in L$. Here, $(\mathfrak{g}, [\ ,\ ]_\mathfrak{g}, \tilde{\alpha})$ is a hom-Lie algebra and $\tilde{\alpha}[g, h] = [\tilde{\alpha}(g), \tilde{\alpha}(h)]$ for all $g, h \in \mathfrak{g}$.

(3) *The action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on A via ϕ-derivations is given by*

$$\tilde{\rho}(a \otimes x)(b) = \phi(a)\rho(x)(b)$$

for all $x \in L,\ a, b \in A$.

Note that transformation hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras are not obtained by composition.

Example 3.10. *A purely hom-Poisson R-algebra $(A, \mu, [-,-], \alpha)$ consists of a R-module A, R-bilinear maps $\mu : A \times A \to A$ and $[-,-] : A \times A \to A$ and a R-linear map $\alpha : A \to A$ such that*

(1) (A, μ) *is a commutative associative R-algebra,*
(2) $(A, [-,-], \alpha)$ *is a hom-Lie R-algebra,*
(3) $[x, \mu(y, z)] = \mu(\alpha(y), [x, z]) + \mu([x, y], \alpha(z))$ *for all* $x, y, z \in A$.

If $(A, \mu, [-,-])$ is a Poisson R-algebra and $\alpha : A \to A$ is a Posson R-algebra endomorphism, then the tuple $(A, \mu, \alpha \circ [-,-], \alpha)$ is a purely hom-Poisson R-algebra (obtained by composition, see [25]). Given a purely hom-Poisson R-algebra $(A, \mu, [-,-], \alpha)$, the tuple $(A, A, [-,-], \phi = \alpha, \alpha, \rho)$ is a hom-Lie -Rinehart algebra, where $\rho : A \to Der_\alpha(A)$ is given by $\rho(a)(b) = [a, b]$ for all $a, b \in A$.

Remark 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_i$ be a graded R-module. Recall from example 2.14, given a Gerstenhaber algebra structure $(\mathcal{A}, \wedge, [-,-])$ and an endomorphism $\alpha : (\mathcal{A}, \wedge, [-,-]) \to (\mathcal{A}, \wedge, [-,-])$, we have a hom-Gerstenhaber algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \wedge, [-,-]_\alpha, \alpha)$. In the next subsection, we will see that for a given hom-Gerstenhaber algebra $(\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_i, [-,-], \wedge, \alpha)$, there is a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra structure $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1, [-,-]_1, \phi, \alpha_{\mathcal{A}_1}, \rho)$. In other words, given any Gerstenhaber algebra and a homomorphism of the Gerstenhaber algebra, we have a canonical hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra structure.*

Definition 3.12. *Let $(A, L, [-,-]_L, \phi, \alpha_L, \rho_L)$ and $(B, L', [-,-]_{L'}, \psi, \alpha_{L'}, \rho_{L'})$ be hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras, then a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra morphism is a pair (g, f), where map $g : A \to B$ is a R-algebra homomorphism and $f : L_1 \to L_2$ is a R-linear map such that following identities hold:*

1. $f(a.x) = g(a).f(x)$ *for all* $x \in L_1,\ a \in A$,
2. $f[x,y]_L = [f(x), f(y)]_{L'}$ *for all* $x, y \in L$,
3. $f(\alpha_L(x)) = \alpha_{L'}(f(x))$ *for all* $x \in L$,
4. $g(\phi(a)) = \psi(g(a))$ *for all* $a \in A$
5. $g(\rho_L(x)(a)) = \rho_{L'}(f(x))(g(a))$ *for all* $x \in L,\ a \in A$.

Hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras with homomorphisms form a category. We denote the category of hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras by hLR. If $\alpha_L = Id_L$ and $\alpha_{L'} = Id_{L'}$ (Note that $\alpha_L = Id_L$ and $\alpha_{L'} = Id_{L'}$ forces $\phi = Id_A$ and $\psi = Id_B$.), then the above hom-Lie Rinehart algebras are the usual Lie-Rinehart algebras and the homomorphism of the hom-Lie Rinehart algebras is the usual homomorphism in category of Lie-Rinehart algebras. In fact, category of Lie-Rinehart algebras is a full subcategory of category of hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras.

Remark 3.13. *if $A = B$ and $\phi = \psi$, then by taking $g = Id_A : A \to A$, we have homorphism of hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras over (A, ϕ). Denote the category of hom-Lie Rinehart algebras over (A, ϕ) by hLR^{ϕ}_{A}. Denote a hom-Lie Rinehart algebra $(A, L, [-,-], \phi, \alpha, \rho)$ over (A, ϕ) by $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ and similarly any other hom-Lie Rinehart algebra over (A, ϕ), say $(A, L', [-,-]', \phi, \alpha', \rho')$ simply by the notation $(\mathcal{L}', \alpha')$.*

3.1. **Hom-Gerstenhaber algebras and hom-Lie -Rinehart algebras.** Given a hom-Gerstenhaber algebra $(\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_i, [-,-], \wedge, \alpha)$. We have the following identities for the pair $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1)$:

1. $\mathcal{A}_0$ is a commutative R-algebra and there is an $\mathcal{A}_0$-module action on $\mathcal{A}_1$, where commutaive product is gieven by $\wedge : \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathcal{A}_0 \to \mathcal{A}_0$ and action is given by $\wedge : \mathcal{A}_0 \otimes \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_1$.(using the fact that $\wedge$ is a graded commutative product on $\mathcal{A}$.)
2. $(\mathcal{A}_1, [-,-]_1, \alpha_1)$ is a hom-Lie algebra, where $[-,-]_1 : \mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_1$ is the restriction of $[-,-] : \mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{A}_1 \otimes \mathcal{A}_1$ and $\alpha_1 : \mathcal{A}_1 \to \mathcal{A}_1$ is restriction of $\alpha : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}$ on $\mathcal{A}_1$. Here, hom-Jacobi identity follows from graded hom-Jacobi identity for the graded bracket $[-,-]$.
3. By graded hom-Jacobi identity, $[x, a \wedge b] = [x,a] \wedge \alpha_0(b) + \alpha_0(a) \wedge [x,b]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_1,\ a, b \in \mathcal{A}_0$.
4. $[a \wedge x, b] = \phi(a) \wedge [x,b]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_1,\ a, b \in \mathcal{A}_0$. Here we are using the graded hom-Jacobi identity and the fact that $[a,b] = 0$.
5. $[x, a \wedge y] = [x,a] \wedge \alpha_1(y) + \alpha_0(a) \wedge [x,y]$ for all $x, y \in \mathcal{A}_1,\ a \in \mathcal{A}_0$.

Thus we have a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1, [-,-]_1, \phi, \alpha_{\mathcal{A}_1}, \rho)$, where $\phi := \alpha_0$, $\alpha_{\mathcal{A}_1} := \alpha_1$, and $\rho : L \to Der_\phi(\mathcal{A}_0)$ is given by $\rho(x)(a) = [x,a]$ for all $x \in \mathcal{A}_1,\ a \in \mathcal{A}_0$. (The definition of ρ makes sense because of the identity (3). Also by identity (4), we have $\rho(ax)(b) = \phi(a)\rho(x)(b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{A}_0,\ x \in \mathcal{A}_1$.)

Furthermore, from the definitions of homomorphisms in the category of hom-Gerstenhaber algebras and hom-Lie Rinehart algebra, it is clear that there is a functor

$$\mathcal{F} : hGR \to hLR$$

assigning a hom-Lie- Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1, [-,-]_1, \phi, \alpha_{\mathcal{A}_1}, \rho)$ for any hom-Gerstenhaber algebra $(\mathcal{A} = \bigoplus_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{A}_i, [-,-], \wedge, \alpha)$.

The following theorem gives a one-one correspondence between the Gerstenhaber algebra structures on $(\wedge^*_A L, [-,-]_G, \wedge, \alpha)$ and the hom-Lie Rinehart algebra structures on $(A, L, [-,-], \phi, \alpha, \rho)$. This result generalises the well known correspondence between Lie Rinehart algebras and G-algebras.(Remark 2.12)

Theorem 3.14. *Suppose, hLR and hGR denote the categories of hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras and hom-Gerstenhaber algebras, respectively. Let $\mathcal{G} : hLR \to hGR$ assigns $(A, L, [-,-], \phi, \alpha, \rho) \mapsto (\wedge^*_A L, \wedge, [-,-]_G, \alpha_G)$, where $\alpha_G : \wedge^*_A L \to \wedge^*_A L$ given by:*

$$\alpha_G(aX_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_n) = \phi(a)\alpha(X_1) \wedge \cdots \wedge \alpha(X_n), \tag{6}$$

and $[\ ,\ ]_G : \wedge^*_A L \otimes \wedge^*_A L \to \wedge^*_A L$ is given by:

$$[X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_n, a]_G = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \rho(X_i)(a) \wedge \alpha_G(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{X}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge X_n)$$

and by

$$[X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge X_n, Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_m]_G = \sum_{i=1}^{n}\sum_{j=1}^{m} [X_i, Y_j] \wedge \alpha_G(X_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{X}_i \wedge \cdots \wedge X_n \wedge Y_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{Y}_j \wedge \cdots \wedge Y_m)$$

where $X_i, Y_j \in L,\ a \in A;\ 1 \le i \le n,\ 1 \le j \le m$. Then $\mathcal{G}$ is a functor from hLR to hGR. Moreover, $\mathcal{G}$ is left adjoint to the functor $\mathcal{F} : hGR \to hLR$.

Proof. Denote the Gerstenhaber algebra $(\mathcal{A}, \wedge_{\mathcal{A}}, [-,-]_{\mathcal{A}}, \alpha_{\mathcal{A}})$ simply by $\mathcal{A}$. Given a homomorphism $\Theta : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{B}$ in the category hGR, we have a homomorphism of hom-Lie Rinehart algebras $\mathcal{F}(\Theta) : \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}) \to \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{B})$, where $\mathcal{F}(\Theta)$ is obtained by restricting the map Θ on $(\mathcal{A}_0, \mathcal{A}_1)$. Similarly, given a homomorphism $\Phi : (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \to (\mathcal{M}, \beta)$ in the category hLR, we get the morphism $\mathcal{G}(\Phi) : \wedge^*_A L \to \wedge^*_A \mathcal{M}$ in the category hGR, which is defined by extending the map Φ from (A, L) to $\wedge^*_A L$(extension of the map is similar to the extension of α_G from α). Now, from the definition of homomorphisms in the categories hGR and hLR, for every pair of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \in hLR$ and $\mathcal{A} \in hGR$ it follows that

$$Hom_{hGR}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}, \alpha), \mathcal{A}) \cong Hom_{hLR}((\mathcal{L}, \alpha), \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}))$$

Further, the bijection is functorial in $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \in hLR$ and $\mathcal{A} \in hGR$. In fact, for every morphism $f : (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \to (\mathcal{L}', \alpha')$ and $g : \mathcal{A} \to \mathcal{A}'$, by considering g_* and f^* as the respective induced maps, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
Hom_{hGR}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}', \alpha'), \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{G}(f)^*} & Hom_{hGR}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}, \alpha), \mathcal{A}) & \xrightarrow{g_*} & Hom_{hGR}(\mathcal{G}(\mathcal{L}, \alpha), \mathcal{A}') \\
\cong\downarrow & & \cong\downarrow & & \cong\downarrow \\
Hom_{hLR}((\mathcal{L}', \alpha'), \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})) & \xrightarrow{f^*} & Hom_{hLR}((\mathcal{L}, \alpha), \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A})) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{F}(g)_*} & Hom_{hLR}((\mathcal{L}, \alpha), \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{A}'))
\end{array}$$

Consequently, $\mathcal{G} : hLR \to hGR$ is left adjoint to the functor $\mathcal{F} : hGR \to hLR$. □

Thus, by theorem 3.14, we get a one-one correspondence between the Gerstenhaber algebra structures on $(\wedge^*_A L, [-,-]_G, \wedge, \alpha_G)$ and the hom-Lie Rinehart algebra structures on $(A, L, [-,-], \phi, \alpha, \rho)$.

4. Cohomology of hom-Lie- Rinehart algebras

We now describe cohomology spaces for hom-Lie Rinehart algebras with coefficient in a module. The notion of modules is defined first as in the classical algebras or in hom-algebras appeared in the literature.

4.1. **Modules over hom-Lie Rinehart algebras.** Let A be an associative and commutative R-algebra and ϕ be an algebra automorphism.

Definition 4.1. *Let M be an A-module, and $\beta \in End_R(M)$. Then the pair (M, β) is a module(left) over the hom-Lie Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ if*

(1) *(θ, β) is a representation of $(L, [-,-], \alpha)$ over M(Definition 2.4). Also denote $\theta(x)(m)$ by $\{x, m\}$.*
(2) *$\beta(a.m) = \phi(a).\beta(m)$*
(3) *$\{a.X, m\} = \phi(a)\{X, m\}$ for all $a \in A,\ X \in L,\ m \in M$.*
(4) *$\{X, a.m\} = \phi(a)\{X, m\} + \rho(X)(a).\beta(m)$ for all $X \in L,\ a \in A,\ m \in M$.*

If we consider $\alpha = Id_L$, then $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$is a Lie-Rinehart algebra and $\alpha = Id_L$ forces $\beta = Id_M$. Consequently, M is usual (left) Lie-Rinehart algebra module over Lie-Rinehart algebra L.

Example 4.2. *With $\alpha = Id_L$ any (left) Lie Rinehart algebra module M over $(A, L, [-,-], \rho)$ gives a (left) hom-Lie Rinehart algebra module (M, Id_M) over $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$.*

Example 4.3. *The pair (A, ϕ) is a module over $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$. As (ρ, ϕ) is a representation of $(L, [-,-], \alpha)$ over A. Further, by definition of ρ, (3), (4) are satisfied.*

Let (M, β) and (M', β') be modules over the hom-Lie -Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$, then $\gamma : (M, \beta) \to (M', \beta')$ is a homomorphism of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$-modules if the following identities are satisfied:

(1) $\gamma(a.m) = \phi(a).\gamma(m)$ for all $a \in A,\ m \in M$,
(2) $\gamma \circ \beta = \beta' \circ \gamma$,
(3) $\gamma[x, m] = [x, \gamma(m)]$, for all $x \in L,\ m \in M$.

Consider the A-module $M \otimes_A M'$. Further, define $\tilde{\beta} : M \otimes_A M' \to M \otimes_A M'$ by

$$\tilde{\beta}(m \otimes m') := \beta(m) \otimes \beta'(m')$$

for $m \otimes m' \in M \otimes_A M'$. Then we can observe the following:

(1) $(\tilde{\theta}, \tilde{\beta})$ is a representation of $(L, [-,-], \alpha)$ on $M \otimes_A M'$, where $\tilde{\theta} : L \otimes M \otimes_A M' \to M \otimes_A M'$ is given by
$$\tilde{\theta}(x)(m \otimes m') = \{x, m\} \otimes \beta'(m') + \beta(m) \otimes \{x, m'\},$$
where $x \in L,\ m \in M,\ m' \in M'$ and $\{-,-\}$ denotes the module action.
(2) $\tilde{\beta}(a.(m \otimes m')) = \phi(a).\tilde{\beta}(m \otimes m')$,
(3) $\{a.x, m \otimes m'\} = \phi(a).\{x, m \otimes m'\}$,
(4) $\{x, a.(m \otimes m')\} = \phi(a).\{x, (m \otimes m')\} + \rho(x)(a).\tilde{\beta}(m \otimes m')$,

where $a \in A,\ x \in L,\ m \in M,\ m' \in M'$ and $\{-,-\}$ denotes the module action. Hence, $(M \otimes_A M', \tilde{\beta})$ is a hom-Lie Rinehart module over $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$. We consider $(M \otimes_A M', \tilde{\beta})$ as the "tensor product" of the given modules (M, β) and (M', β') in the category of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$-modules.

4.2. **Cochain complex of a Hom-Lie Rinehart algebra.** Let $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ be a hom-Lie Rinehart algebra over (A, ϕ) and (M, β) be a module over $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$. Then we can define a cochain complex $C^*(L; M)$ for hom-Lie Rinehart algebra with coefficients in (M, β). For $n \geq 0$, define $C^n(L; M) \subset Hom_R(\wedge_R^n L, M)$, consisting of elements $f \in Hom_R(\wedge_R^n L, M)$ satisfying

(1) $f(\alpha(x_1), \cdots, \alpha(x_n)) = \beta(f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_n))$ for all $x_i \in L,\ 1 \leq i \leq n$
(2) $f(x_1, \cdots, a.x_i, \cdots, x_n) = \phi^{n-1}(a) f(x_1, \cdots, x_i, \cdots, x_n)$ for all $x_i \in L,\ 1 \leq i \leq n,$ and $a \in A$.

Now, define the coboundary map $\delta : C^n(L; M) \to C^{n+1}(L; M)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \delta f(x_1, \cdots, x_{n+1}) = &\sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \{\alpha^{n-1}(x_i), f(x_1, \cdots, \hat{x_i}, \cdots, x_{n+1})\} \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} f([x_i, x_j], \alpha(x_1), \cdots, \hat{\alpha(x_i)}, \cdots, \hat{\alpha(x_j)}, \cdots, \alpha(x_{n+1})) \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

for all $f \in C^n(L; M),\ x_i \in L,\ where 1 \leq i \leq n+1$.

Proposition 4.4. *If $f \in C^n(L; M)$, then $\delta f \in C^{n+1}(L; M)$ and $\delta^2 = 0$.*

Proof. First, we need to check that $\delta f(\alpha(x_1), \alpha(x_2) \cdots, \alpha(x_{n+1})) = \beta(\delta f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n+1}))$ for all $x_i \in L,\ 1 \leq i \leq n+1$. We will use the fact that $f \circ \alpha = \beta \circ f$ and $\alpha(x), \beta(m) = \beta\{x, m\}$ (as $f \in C^n(L; M)$ and (θ, β) is a representation of $(L, [,], \alpha)$ on M).

$$\begin{aligned} \delta f(\alpha(x_1), \cdots, \alpha(x_{n+1})) &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \{\alpha^n(x_i), f(\alpha(x_1), \cdots, \hat{\alpha(x_i)}, \cdots, \alpha(x_{n+1}))\} \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} f(\alpha([x_i, x_j]), \alpha^2(x_1), \cdots, \alpha^2\hat{(x_i)}, \cdots, \alpha^2\hat{(x_j)}, \cdots, \alpha^2(x_{n+1})) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \{\alpha(\alpha^{n-1}(x_i)), \beta\big(f(x_1, \cdots, \hat{x_i}, \cdots, x_{n+1})\big)\} \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \beta\big(f([x_i, x_j], \alpha(x_1), \cdots, \hat{\alpha(x_i)}, \cdots, \hat{\alpha(x_j)}, \cdots, \alpha(x_{n+1}))\big) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} (-1)^{i+1} \beta\Big(\{\alpha^{n-1}(x_i), f(x_1, \cdots, \hat{x_i}, \cdots, x_{n+1})\}\Big) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq n+1} \beta\big(f([x_i, x_j], \alpha(x_1), \cdots, \hat{\alpha(x_i)}, \cdots, \hat{\alpha(x_j)}, \cdots, \alpha(x_{n+1}))\big) \\ &= \beta(\delta f(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{n+1})). \end{aligned}$$

Also, We have to check that $\delta f(x_1, \cdots, a.x_i, \cdots, x_{n+1}) = \phi^n(a) \delta f(x_1, \cdots, x_i, \cdots, x_{n+1})$ for all $x_i \in L,\ 1 \leq i \leq n+1$ and $a \in A$. But it follows from the simple calculation and using the fact that (ρ, ϕ) is a representation of $(L, [-,-], \alpha)$ on A. (i.e. $\rho(\alpha(x))(\phi(a)) = \phi(\rho(x)(a))$ for all $x \in L,\ a \in A$.)

Further, $\delta^2 = 0$ follows from the fact that the coboundary definition here is same as the coboundary definition for a hom-Lie algebra defined in [21]. □

By the above proposition, $(C^*(L, M), \delta)$ is a cochain complex. Denote the cohomology space of the cochain complex $(C^*(L, M), \delta)$ by $H^*_{hLR}(L, M)$.

Remark 4.5. *If L is a $\mathbb{K}$-vector space and we consider a hom-Lie algebra $(L, [-,-], \alpha)$ as a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ over $(\mathbb{K}, Id_{\mathbb{K}})$ with trivial anchor ρ, then a module (M, β) over $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ gives a representation (θ, β) of $(L, [-,-], \alpha)$ on M. Furthermore, the cochain complex of hom-Lie algebra $(L, [-,-], \alpha)$ with coefficients in M, i.e. $(C^*_{\alpha,\beta}(L, M), d_\theta)$ and the corresponding cohomology space $H^*(L, \theta)$ (defined in [21]) is equal to $(C^*(L, M), \delta)$ and $H^*_{hLR}(L, M)$.*

Remark 4.6. *The pair (A, ϕ) is a $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$-module. If $\alpha = Id_L$, then hom-Lie- Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ is a Lie-Rinehart algebra L over A and A is a Lie-Rinehart algebra module over L. Also, the cochain complex $(C^*(L, A), \delta)$ and cohomology space $H^*_{hLR}(L, A)$ of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ with coefficients in (A, ϕ) is same as the Lie-Rinehart algebra cochain complex and the cohomology space with the coefficients in the Lie-Rinehart algebra module A.*

Remark 4.7. *Let M be a smooth manifold. Let $A = C^\infty(M)$ and $L = \chi(M)$, the space of smooth vector fields on M, with $\alpha = Id_L$, then the above cochain complex $(C^*(L, A), \delta)$ with coefficients in (A, Id_A) is the de-Rham complex of M and the cohomology $H^*_{hLR}(L, A)$ is same as the de-Rham cohomology of M.*

5. Extensions of hom-Lie -Rinehart algebras

In this section, we will introduce extensions of a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra in the category hLR^A_ϕ. In fact, one can deduce extensions and corresponding results for a hom-Lie algebras, by following the discussion in this section. Here, We follow the notations from previous sections.

Definition 5.1. *A short exact sequence in the category hLR^ϕ_A*

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'') \longrightarrow (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \longrightarrow (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0.$$

is called an extension of the hom-Lie -Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by the hom-Lie Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'')$. Here, the anchor map of the hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'')$ is trivial, i.e. $\rho'' = 0$.

An extension of hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ is said to be A-split if we have an A-module map $\tau : (L, \alpha) \to (L', \alpha')$ such that

(1) $\sigma \circ \tau = Id_L$,
(2) $\tau(a.x) = a.\tau(x)$ and
(3) $\tau \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ \tau$,

for each $a \in A,\ x \in L$. Furthermore, if the section τ for σ is a homomorphism of hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras, then this extension is called split in the category of hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras.

Definition 5.2. *Let $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ be a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra over (A, ϕ) and $(M, [-,-]_M, \beta)$ be a hom-Lie algebra over A, then We say $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ acts on $(M, [-,-]_M, \beta)$ if*

(1) *(M, β) is a $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$-module;*
(2) *For $x \in L,\ m, n \in M$, we have $\{x, [m, n]\} = [\{x, m\}, n] + [m, \{x, n\}]$, where $\{-,-\} : L \otimes M \to M$ is the action of L on M.*

Now, consider $(\mathcal{L}', \alpha') := (A, L', [-,-]', \phi, \alpha', \rho')$, where

(1) *$L' = L \oplus M$, direct sum of A-modules;*
(2) *$[(x, m), (y, n)]' = ([x, y], [m, n] + [x, n] - [y, m])$;*
(3) *$\alpha'((x, m)) = (\alpha(x), \beta(m))$;*
(4) *$\rho'(x, m) = \rho(x)$,*

for all $x, y \in L,\ m, n \in M$. Then $(\mathcal{L}', \alpha')$ is called a semi-direct product of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ and $(M, [-,-]_M, \beta)$ which is denoted by $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \rtimes (M, [-,-]_M, \beta)$.

Remark 5.3. *Not every extension of a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra is A-split. Assume L to be a projective A-module, then we have an A-linear map $\tau : L \to L'$ such that $\sigma \circ \tau = Id_L$, but it may not satisfy the identity: $\tau \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ \tau$. However, If we take a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ over (A, ϕ) acting on a hom-Lie algebra $(M, [-,-]_M, \beta)$ over A, then for $(\mathcal{L}', \alpha') = (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \rtimes (M, [-,-], \beta)$, the short exact sequence*

$$0 \longrightarrow (M, \beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \xrightarrow{\sigma} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

is split in the category hLR_A^ϕ. Thus, it is also an A-split extension of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$. Further, this observation gives a one-to-one correspondence between split extensions and semi-direct products in the category hLR_A^ϕ.

Definition 5.4. *Let*

$$0 \longrightarrow (M, \beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \xrightarrow{\sigma} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

and

$$0 \longrightarrow (M, \beta) \xrightarrow{i'} (\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'') \xrightarrow{\sigma'} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0.$$

be two abelain extensions of a hom-Lie -Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by (M, β). Then they are said to be equivalent if there exists $f : (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \to (\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'')$ in hLR_A^ϕ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (M, \beta) & \xrightarrow{i} & (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow f & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (M, \beta) & \xrightarrow{i'} & (\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'') & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

5.1. **Abelian Extensions:** In this subsection, we will define an abelian extension of a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra in the category hLR_A^ϕ. Any hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra module (M, β) is a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra over (A, ϕ) with a trivial bracket and a trivial action on A.

Definition 5.5. *Let $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ be a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra over (A, ϕ) and (M, β) be a module over $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$. Then the short exact sequence in the category hLR_A^ϕ*

$$0 \longrightarrow (M, \beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \xrightarrow{\epsilon} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0.$$

is called an abelian extesion of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by (M, β) if the following identity holds:

$$[i(m), x] = (\epsilon(x)).m \text{ for all } m \in M,\ x \in L'.$$

Next, we will show that the second cohomology space $H^2_{hLR}(L, M)$ of hom-Lie Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ with cofficients in (M, β) classifies A-split abelian extensions of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by (M, β). This result generalises the well-known classification theorems for the case of a Lie algebra [9] or a Lie-Rinehart algebra [10].

Theorem 5.6. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of A-split abelian extensions of hom-Lie Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by (M, β) and the cohomology classes in $H^2_{hLR}(L, M)$.*

Proof. Let f be a representative of the cohomology class $[f] \in H^2_{hLR}(L, M)$. So, $f : L \times L \to M$ is a skew symmetric bilinear map. Consider a hom-LIe-Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}', \alpha') := (A, L', [-,-]', \phi, \alpha', \rho')$, where the structure constraints are given as follows:

(1) $L' = L \oplus M$, a direct sum of A-modules;
(2) $[(x, m), (y, n)]' = ([x, y], [x, n] - [y, m] + f(x, y))$;
(3) $\alpha'((x, m)) = (\alpha(x), \beta(m))$;
(4) $\rho'(x, m) = \rho(x) = \rho(\pi(x, m))$,

for all $x, y \in L,\ m, n \in M$ and $\pi : L' \to L$ defined as $\pi(x, m) = x$. Then

$$0 \longrightarrow (M, \beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \xrightarrow{\pi} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

is an A-split abelian extension of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by (M, β), where $i : M \to L'$ is defined by $i(m) = (0, m)$.

Suppose we take an another representative f' of the cohomology class $[f] \in H^2_{hLR}(L, M)$ and get an extension $(\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'')$ as above. Since f and f' represent the same cohomology class $[f]$, we have $f - f' = \delta g$ for some $g \in C^1(L, M)$. Then $F : (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \to (\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'')$, defined by $F(x, m) = (x, m + g(x))$, gives an isomorphism of the extensions obtained by using f and f', respectively. Thus, for a cohomology class in $H^2_{hLR}(L, M)$ a unique equivalence class of A-split abelian extensions of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by (M, β) is assigned.

Conversely, Assume

$$0 \longrightarrow (M, \beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \xrightarrow{\sigma} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0,$$

be an an A-split abelian extension of the hom-Lie- Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by (M, β). We will first show that we can define a 2-cocycle in $C^2(L, M)$ independent of a section of the map σ.

Now, first fix a section $\tau : L \to L'$ of the map σ. Consider $G : L \oplus M \to L'$ by

$$G(x, m) = \tau(x) + i(m)$$

clearly, G is an injective A-module homomorphism. In fact, G is an isomorphism of A-modules.

Define a 2-cochain $\Omega_\tau \in C^2(L, M)$ by

$$\Omega_\tau(x, y) = i^{-1}\big([\tau(x), \tau(y)] - \tau([x, y])\big),$$

for all $x, y \in L$. Here,

(1) Ω_τ is a skew-symmetric R-bilinear map and it satisfies $\Omega_\tau(a.x, y) = \phi(a)\Omega_\tau(x, y)$ for all $x, y \in L,\ a \in A$;
(2) $\delta(\Omega_\tau) = 0$, which follows using hom-Jacobi identity for $(L', [-, -]', \alpha')$;
(3) $\Omega_\tau \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \Omega_\tau$, which follows by the relations $\tau \circ \alpha = \alpha' \circ \tau,$ and $\alpha' \circ i = i \circ \beta$;

Consequently, Ω_τ is a 2-coycle in $C^2(L, M)$.

Note that if we take another section $\tau' : L \to L'$ of σ. Then the resulting 2-cocycle $\Omega_{\tau'}$ is cohomologous to Ω_τ, since $\Omega_{\tau'} - \Omega_\tau = \delta(i^{-1} \circ (\tau' - \tau))$ for $(i^{-1} \circ (\tau' - \tau)) \in C^1(L, M)$. Thus for a given A-split abelian extension of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by (M, β), there exists a unique cohomology class $[\Omega_\tau] \in H^2(L, M)$.

We have to further show that given two equivalent A-split abelian extensions, the corresponding 2-cocycles are cohomologous. Let

$$0 \longrightarrow (M, \beta) \xrightarrow{i'} (\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'') \xrightarrow{\sigma'} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0$$

be another A-split abelian extension of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by (M, β) , which is isomorphic to the extension:

$$0 \longrightarrow (M, \beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \xrightarrow{\sigma} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0.$$

Let $\Phi : (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \to (\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'')$ be an isomorphism of these extensions, i.e. following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & (M, \beta) & \xrightarrow{i} & (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 & & \| & & \Phi\downarrow & & \| & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & (M, \beta) & \xrightarrow{i'} & (\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'') & \xrightarrow{\sigma'} & (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Here, we have to show that for a section $\tau : (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \to (\mathcal{L}', \alpha')$ of σ and $\tau' : (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \to (\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'')$ of σ', the respective cocycles Ω_τ and $\Omega_{\tau'}$ are cohomologous. Consider, $\tau'' = \Phi \circ \tau : (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \to (\mathcal{L}'', \alpha'')$ a section for σ'. We have $\Omega_{\tau''} = \Omega_\tau$. Further, $\Omega_{\tau''}$ and $\Omega_{\tau'}$ are cohomologous in $H^2_{hLR}(L, M)$ because of the fact that τ' and τ'', both are sections of σ'. Consequently, Ω_τ and $\Omega_{\tau'}$ are cohomologous in $H^2_{hLR}(L, M)$.

□

Remark 5.7. *Consider an A-split extension of a hom-Lie Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by a module (M, β):*

$$0 \longrightarrow (M, \beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \xrightarrow{\sigma} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0$$

Fixing a section τ for the map σ, we have an isomorphism of underlying A-modules $G : L' \to L \oplus M$ given by $G(x) = (\sigma(X), i^{-1}(X - \tau \circ \sigma(X)))$. Let us denote inverse image of (x, m) under the isomorphism G, i.e. $\tau(x) + i(m)$ by $(x, m)_\tau$. Then any $X, Y \in L'$ can be written as $X = (x, m)_\tau$ and $Y = (y, n)_\tau$ for some $x, y \in L$ and $m, n \in M$. Moreover, the Lie bracket on L' can also be written as follows:

$$[X, Y]' = ([x, y], [x, n] - [y, m] + \Omega_\tau(x, y))_\tau.$$

Now, we will present a characterisation of the first cohomology space $H^1_{hLR}(L, M)$ in terms of group of automorphisms of an A-split abelian extension.

Theorem 5.8. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the group of automorphisms of a given A-split abelian extension,*

$$0 \longrightarrow (M, \beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \xrightarrow{\sigma} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0$$

of a hom-Lie Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by (M, β) and cohomology space $H^1_{hLR}(L, M)$.

Proof. Let $F : (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \to (\mathcal{L}', \alpha')$ be a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra isomorphism which gives an automorphism of the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow (M, \beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \xrightarrow{\sigma} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0\,.$$

So we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (M, \beta) & \xrightarrow{i} & (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \| & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F} & & \| & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & (M, \beta) & \xrightarrow{i} & (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') & \xrightarrow{\sigma} & (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) & \longrightarrow & 0 \end{array}$$

Since the given short exact sequence is A-split, so we have a section $\tau : (L, \alpha) \to (L', \alpha')$. (see Remark 2.12.) For the section τ, we get an isomorphism of underlying A-modules, say $G : L' \to L \oplus M$. Let us denote the inverse image $\tau(x) + i(m)$ of (x, m) under the isomorphism, by $(x, m)_\tau$ for all $x \in L,\ m \in M$.

Assume $F_1,\ F_2$ are maps obtained by taking projections of the map $G \circ F$ onto first and second components. Then $F(x, m)_\tau = (F_1(x, m)_\tau, F_2(x, m)_\tau)_\tau$ for $(x, m)_\tau \in L'$. By the commutativity of the above diagram $F_1((x, m)_\tau) = x$ adn $F_2((0, m)_\tau) = m$. Therefore, for $(x, m)_\tau \in L'$,

$$(x, F_2((x, 0)_\tau) + m)_\tau = (a, F_2((x, m)_\tau))_\tau.$$

Define $\psi : L \to M$ by $\psi(x) = F_2((x, 0)_\tau)$. So, we can write $F_2((x, m)_\tau) = \psi(x) + m$. Note that $\psi(a.x) = a.\psi(x)$ for all $x \in L,\ a \in A$ and $\psi \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \psi$, i.e. ψ is a 1-cochain in $C^1(L, M)$. Now, $F : (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \to (\mathcal{L}', \alpha')$ is an isomorphism of hom-Lie Rinehart algebras, i.e. we have

$$F[(x, 0)_\tau, (y, 0)_\tau]' = [F(x, 0)_\tau, F(y, 0)_\tau]'$$

Using the Remark 5.7, we get $\delta\psi = 0$. Therefore ψ is a 1-cocycle representing a cohomology class in $H^1_{hLR}(L, M)$.

Conversely, assume ψ represents a cohomology class in $H^1_{hLR}(L, M)$. Define $F : (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \to (\mathcal{L}', \alpha')$ as

$$F((x, m)_\tau) = (x, m + \psi(x))_\tau.$$

Note that following identities are satisfied:

(1) $F : L' \to L'$ is an A-module homomorphism.
(2) $\alpha' \circ F = F \circ \alpha'$, which follows by using the equations $\psi \circ \alpha = \beta \circ \psi$ and $\alpha' \circ i = i \circ \beta$.
(3) $F[(x, m)_\tau, (y, n)_\tau]' = [F(x, m)_\tau, F(y, n)_\tau]$, which follows from the condition $\delta\psi(x, y) = 0$.
(4) $\rho' \circ F = \rho'$. As $\rho'(x, m)_\tau = \rho(x)$.
(5) $\sigma \circ F = \sigma$ and $F \circ i = i$, wich follows from the definition of F.

Hence $F : (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \to (\mathcal{L}', \alpha')$ is an automorphism of hom-Lie Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}', \alpha')$. □

5.2. **Central Extensions:** Define the center of a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by

$$Z_A(\mathcal{L}) = \{x \in L : [a.x, z] = 0 \text{ for all } a \in A,\ z \in L\}$$

Definition 5.9. *A short exact sequence of hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras*

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \xrightarrow{\sigma} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0$$

is called central extension of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ if $i(M) = Ker(\sigma) \subset Z_A(\mathcal{L}')$. Here, $(\mathcal{M}, \beta)$ is a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra $(A, M, [-,-]_{\mathcal{M}}, \phi, \beta, \rho_{\mathcal{M}})$.

Remark 5.10.

(1) *Since $\sigma \circ i = 0$, we have $\rho_{\mathcal{M}} = 0$.*
(2) *Note that $i(M) = Ker(\sigma) \subset Z_A(\mathcal{L}')$ implies $(\mathcal{M}, \beta)$ is a hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra with trivial bracket. Since $i[m, n]_{\mathcal{M}} = [i(m), i(n)]' = 0$ and i is an injective map.*
(3) *If we assume (M, β) as a trivial hom-Lie-Rinehart algebra module over $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$, then the abelian extension of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by the module (M, β) is a central extension.*

Proposition 5.11. *There is a one-to-one correspondence between the equivalence classes of A-split central extensions*

$$0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{M}, \beta) \xrightarrow{i} (\mathcal{L}', \alpha') \xrightarrow{\sigma} (\mathcal{L}, \alpha) \longrightarrow 0$$

of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by $(\mathcal{M}, \beta)$ and the cohomology classes in $H^2_{hLR}(L, M)$.

Proof. Following the Remark 5.10 (3), it is an easy observation that $H^2_{hLR}(L, M)$ classifies the A-split central extensions of $(\mathcal{L}, \alpha)$ by $(\mathcal{M}, \beta)$. □

Remark 5.12. *If we consider $\alpha = Id_L$, then the category hLR^{ϕ}_A is simply the category of Lie-Rinehart algebras over A and the Proposition 5.11 is the classification of A-split central extensions of a Lie-Rinehart algebra L over A by another Lie-Rinehart algebra M over A, by using the 2-nd cohomology space $H^2_{Rin}(L, M)$. See [5] for further details.*

Conclusions: In this work we describe a cohomology complex for hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras with coefficient in a module and subsequently deduce the correspondence with equivalence class of some extensions. In [3, 4] the notion of universal central extensions and universal α- central extensions are discussed for the case of hom-Lie algebras. This can be done for the case of hom-Lie-Rinehart algebras which we would like to address in a separate discussion.

REFERENCES

[1] Ammar, F., Ejbehi, A., Makhlouf, A.: Cohomology and Deformations of Hom-algebras. J. Lie Theory Vol. 21 (4): 813–836, 2011.

[2] Cai, L., and Sheng, Y.: Purely hom-Lie algebras. arXiv:1605.00722, 2016.

[3] Casas, J. M., Insua, M. A., and Pachcco N.: On universal central extensions of Hom-Lie algebras, Hacettepe Journal of Mathematics and Statistics. Vol. 44(2): 277-288, 2015.

[4] Casas, J. M., Khmaladze, E., and Pachcco N.: A non-abelian tensor product of hom-Lie algebras, arXiv:1409.1729v2, 29th March 2016.

[5] Castiglioni, J.L., Garca-Martnez, X., Ladra, M.: Universal central extensions of Lie-Rinehart algebras. arXiv:1403.7159 [math.RA]

[6] Camille Laurent-Gengoux and Joana Teles, *Hom-Lie Algebroids* Journal of Geometry and Physics, Vol.68: 69–75, 2013.

[7] Gerstenhaber, M., and Schack S.D.: Algebras, bialgebras, quantum groups and algebraic deformations, In: deformations theory and quantum groups with applications to mathematical physics, M. Gerstenhaber and J, Stasheff, eds. Cont. Math., AMS, Providence, 134 :51-92, 1992.

[8] Hartwig, J., Larsson, D., Silvestrov, S.: Deformations of Lie algebras using σ-derivations. J. Algebra 295: 314–361, 2006.

[9] Hilton, P. J., and Stammbach, U.: A Course In Homological Algebra, Graduate texts in mathematics, vol. 4, Springer, BerlinHeidelbergNew York, 1971.

[10] Huebschmann, J.: Poisson cohomology and quantization. J. fur die Reine und Angew. Math., Vol. 408: 57-113, 1990.

[11] Huebschmann, J.: Duality for Lie-Rinehart algebras and the modular class. J. Reine Angew. Math., Vol. 510: 103-159, 1999.

[12] Huebschmann, J.: Lie-Rinehart algebras, Gerstenhaber algebras and Batalin-Vilkovisky algebras. Université de Grenoble. Annales de l'Institut Fourier, Vol. 48:. 425-440, 1998.

[13] Huebschmann, J.: Lie-Rinehart algebras, descent, and quantization, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, Vol. 43: 295–316, 2004.

[14] Larsson, D., Silvestrove, S.: Quasi-Lie algebras. Contemp. Math. 391: 241–248 , 2005.

[15] Larrson, D., Silvestrove, S.: Quasi-hom-Lie algebras, central extensions and 2-cocyle like identities. J. Algebra 288: 321–344, 2005.

[16] Mackenzie, K.: General theory of Lie groupoids and Lie algebroids. London Math Soc. Lecture Note Series, 213, 2005.

[17] Makhlouf, A., Silvestrov, S.: Hom-algebra structures. J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl. Vol. 2(2), 51–64, 2008.

[18] Makhlouf, A., Silvestrov, S.: Notes on Formal deformations of Hom-Associative and Hom-Lie algebras. Forum Mathematicum, vol. 22(4): 715–759, 2010.

[19] Palais, R.S.: The cohomology of Lie rings, Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Vol. III, pages 130-137. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1961.

[20] Rinehart, G. S.: Differential Forms on General Commutative Algebras, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society, Vol. 108 (2): 195–222, 1963.

[21] Sheng, Y.: Representations of hom-Lie algebras. Algebra and Representation Theory, Vol. 15(6): 1081–1098, 2012.

[22] Yau, D.: Enveloping algebras of Hom-Lie algebras, Journal of Generalized Lie Theory and Applications, Vol. 2 (2): 95–108, 2008.

[23] Yau, D.: Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras. J. Phys. A: Math. Theory 42, 165–202 , 2009.

[24] Yau, D.: Hom-algebras and homology. J.Lie Theory 19: 409-421, 2009.

[25] Yau, D. Non-commutative hom-Poisson algebra, arXiv:1010.3408, (2010)

Ashis Mandal and Satyendra Kumar Mishra

Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kanpur 208016, India.

e-mail: amandal@iitk.ac.in, satyendm@iitk.ac.in